

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
EASTERN DIVISION**

DEBORAH LYNNE COOK,	)	CASE NO. 2:19-CV-1161
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	CHIEF JUDGE EDMUND A. SARGUS, JR.
	)	
v.	)	CHIEF MAGISTRATE JUDGE
	)	ELIZABETH PRESTON DEAVERS
MOUNT CARMEL HEALTH SYSTEM,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	
	)	

**STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER**

Plaintiff Deborah Cook (“Plaintiff”) and Defendant Mount Carmel Health System (“Defendant”) by the stipulation of their respective undersigned counsel, have agreed to the entry of a protective order and to the terms thereof:

**IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that:

1. For the purpose of this Order, “Confidential Information” shall mean any document or other information produced by either Plaintiff or Defendant that is marked “Confidential” and is reasonably and in good faith considered to include patient, and/or employee information and/or personnel, medical, and/or financial records related to any individual, or proprietary or trade secret information with respect to Defendant.

2. In the event that either party challenges another party’s confidential designation, counsel shall make a good faith effort to resolve the dispute in accordance with Rule 37 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and, absent a resolution, the challenging party may thereafter seek resolution from the Magistrate Judge. The burden of proving confidentiality of designated information remains with the party asserting confidentiality.

3. Confidential Information shall not be disclosed by any recipient to any person other than:

- (a) The parties and counsel of record in this case, including in-house and outside counsel involved in this case and their legal staffs. The parties agree to limit disclosure of Confidential Information and the information contained therein to those staff persons necessary to prosecute or defend this action;
- (b) Experts or consultants retained by counsel for the preparation or trial of this action;
- (c) Any actual or proposed deponent or witness, only if such information is necessary to prepare that witness to testify at deposition or trial, or to question the witness at deposition or trial;
- (d) The District Court Judge and his staff;
- (e) The Magistrate Judge and her staff;
- (f) Any third party who is engaged for the purpose of copying, organizing, converting, storing, or retrieving documents potentially subject to this Protective Order (*i.e.*, a copy service); and
- (g) Any videographers and court reporters.

4. No Confidential Information shall be used by any recipient for any purpose whatsoever other than for the preparation and trial of this action and any appellate proceedings relating thereto.

5. No person or entity bound by this Order shall disclose any Confidential Information or discuss its contents except for the purposes stated herein, unless required to do so by law or compulsory legal process. If a party believes that disclosure of the

other party's Confidential Information is required by law or public policy, that party shall challenge the confidentiality designation pursuant to the procedures set forth in Paragraph 2 of this Order. If a party receives a subpoena or other compulsory legal process to which the other party's Confidential Information is responsive, that party shall notify the party who owns the Confidential Information sufficiently in advance of the date upon which the disclosure is required to be made so that the party may seek Court intervention preventing the disclosure. Nothing in this Order shall be construed as requiring either party or its counsel to violate any law regarding disclosure of information.

6. Nothing herein shall be construed as a waiver of the right to object to the request for any information sought by way of discovery or to the admissibility of any testimony or evidence where such objections are based on grounds other than the fact that the testimony or evidence involves Confidential Information.

7. Nothing contained herein shall affect the rights of either Plaintiff or Defendant with respect to his or their own documents or information.

8. Upon conclusion of the case (including the exhaustion of all appeals) and upon written request by an opposing party, each party shall return to an opposing party all originals and all copies of any Confidential Information obtained during the case, or shall certify that they have been destroyed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

---

Elizabeth P. Deavers  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Agreed:

/s/ Matthew J.P. Coffman (permission to file given) /s/ M. J. Asensio

Matthew J.P. Coffman (0085586)  
Coffman Legal, LLC  
1550 Old Henderson Road, Ste. 126  
Columbus, OH 43220  
Telephone: (614) 949-1181  
Facsimile: (614) 386-9964  
Email: [mcoffman@mcoffmanlegal.com](mailto:mcoffman@mcoffmanlegal.com)

M. J. Asensio (0030777), Trial Attorney  
Samuel E. Endicott (0094026)  
BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP  
200 Civic Center Drive, Suite 1200  
Columbus, Ohio 43215  
Telephone: (614) 228-1541  
Facsimile: (614) 462-2616  
[masensio@bakerlaw.com](mailto:masensio@bakerlaw.com)  
[sendicott@bakerlaw.com](mailto:sendicott@bakerlaw.com)

*Attorney for Plaintiff*

*Attorneys for Defendant*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on June 25, 2019, a copy of the foregoing was electronically filed. Notice of this filing will be sent to all parties by operation of the Court's electronic filing system. Parties may access this filing through the Court's system.

/s/ M.J. Asensio  
*An Attorney for Defendants*